

THE THREE PILLARS

THE THREE PILLARS OF TRANSFORMING CARE. HEALING IN THE 'OTHER 23 HOURS'¹.

HOWARD BATH

ABSTRACT

This article identifies the three core defining characteristics of healing environments for children and young people who have been exposed to chronic adversity and trauma. A large body of evidence highlights the pervasive and devastating developmental impacts of such exposure but there is also emerging evidence about the elements of living and learning environments that foster recovery and resilience. The Three Pillars framework has been developed to inform and empower those who live with or work with these young people but who are not necessarily engaged in formal therapy.

CHRONIC ADVERSITY AND TRAUMA

Dr Bruce Perry, one of the doyens of trauma research, has highlighted the plight of children who are 'safe nowhere', who are exposed to abuse and neglect, whose homes are chaotic, whose communities are fragmented and prone to violence, and whose schools can barely provide structure and safety. He observes that:

These children must learn and grow despite a pervasive sense of threat. (They) must adapt to this atmosphere of fear (Perry, 2001, p. 4).

Other children and young people may have lost their families due to civil conflict; they may have been exposed to or forced to participate in extreme violence; or they may have undertaken perilous journeys into the unknown as unaccompanied and unsupported minors. We are beginning to understand how this early exposure can have devastating developmental repercussions across the life span and how challenging it is for them to adapt to extreme adversity, whatever the source.

The seminal research of Felitti and his colleagues (e.g. Felitti et al., 1998; & Felitti & Anda, 2010) has convincingly demonstrated that many physical diseases (such as cardiovascular, pulmonary and liver disease, and depression); behavioural disorders (such as drug dependence, suicide attempts, chronic smoking, alcoholism, and risky sexual activity); and adverse adolescent and adult outcomes (such as being prone to violence and juvenile delinquency), have their roots in childhood adversity and trauma. This rapidly growing body of research

has also demonstrated that the impacts are cumulative; the more sources of stress that are present early in life, the higher the risk of adverse outcomes across the lifespan.

Young people² exposed to chronic developmental stress are highly likely to have also experienced specific peak traumatic events. Following Lenore Terr (1991), researchers into the neurodevelopmental impacts of trauma have distinguished between simple or type 1 trauma in which a person is exposed to a single traumatising event, and complex, or type 2 trauma, that involves exposure to multiple stressful and traumatising events over a period of time. Bessel van der Kolk, (2005), building on this distinction, has defined what he terms complex developmental trauma, as

...the experience of multiple, chronic and prolonged, developmentally adverse events, most often of an interpersonal nature...and early life onset' (p. 402).

Many of the young people in special care, education and justice settings have experienced chronically stressful family environments as well as complex trauma. Such exposure affects many developmental domains including biology, cognition, behavioural control, the regulation of emotions and impulses, self-concept, and future orientation (Cook et al., 2005).

Given the centrality of interpersonal factors in both trauma experiences and the healing framework, I will be using the term 'relational trauma' (see Schore, 2001) in this article to include what is also referred to as 'complex' or 'developmental' trauma but will also be referring to the broader concepts of chronic stress and adversity.

THE CONTEXT OF HEALING

The *Three Pillars* framework is designed to inform and empower those who live with or work with young people who have been exposed to chronic adversity, including parents, foster carers, residential care workers, teachers, custodial workers and others. These care providers are not usually engaged in formal therapy but must, nevertheless, understand, support, nurture, mentor and sometimes provide behavioural controls for these young people whose behaviours are frequently baffling

¹ This article updates and expands on articles in the journal *Reclaiming Children and Youth* published in 2008 and 2015.

² Where the term 'young people' is used alone, it includes both children and young people

and challenging. It is for people who are engaged with young people outside of the formal therapy hour, in what has been called *The Other 23 Hours* (Trieschman, Whittaker & Brendtro, 1969) - their everyday living and learning environments.

The *Three Pillars* framework builds on the understanding that much of the healing from exposure to chronic stress and trauma can and does take place in non-clinical settings. Greenwald (2005), for example, observes that:

Parents, counsellors, teachers, coaches, direct-care workers, case managers, and others are all in a position to help a child heal (p. 37).

Briere and Scott (2006) concur:

Healing relationships need not always involve psychotherapy. Many people recover from trauma exposure without seeking professional assistance processing and resolving their injuries in the context of family, friendship, and other relationships (p.231).

THE THREE PILLARS FRAMEWORK

The three inter-related Pillars are the core characteristics of social environments that promote healing and growth. They are based on the three central *trauma*-related needs:

1. Safety: the creation of an environment in which a young person can feel safe, relax and attend to normal developmental tasks.

2. Connections: the development of positive, trust-based, interpersonal **connections** between the young person and caring adults as well as engagement with normative community supports such as sporting teams, youth groups, and recreational programs. Sometimes a young person needs to re-connect with his/her cultural roots; and

3. Coping: helping the young person to develop adaptive **coping** skills to positively deal with life's challenges as well as the problematic emotions and impulses that lie at the heart of traumatic stress.

In short, the *Three Pillars* are **Safety, Connections, and Coping**.

PILLAR 1: SAFETY

The overwhelming and sustained stress of complex trauma leads to enduring changes in the brains of young people who have been affected. We now know that important brain functions (such as the response to threat, emotional control and certain cognitive abilities) are compromised by traumatic exposure during critical developmental periods (e.g. Enlow et al., 2012; Teicher et al., 2003; & van der Kolk, 2005). Referring to such young people, Bruce Perry (2006) observes that they:

reset their baseline state of arousal, such that – where no external threats or demands are present – they will be in a physiological state of persisting alarm (p. 32).

This can have serious repercussions for the young person because, as van der Kolk (2014) points out:

Being able to feel safe with other people is probably the single most important aspect of mental health (p. 79).

The restless and wary behaviours we see in young people who have been seriously stressed in their early developmental years, tend to attract technical labels such as hyperarousal and hypervigilance. A traumatised young person needs to be alert to danger when in an abusive environment; unfortunately, that hypervigilance is carried into other environments where this survival strategy is not helpful. When a young person lacks the ability to discriminate between safe environments and dangerous ones, they will respond inappropriately to many perceived threats. It has been observed that many of the developmental problems that we see in abused and neglected children and young people appear to be linked to 'an over-concern with security issues reflecting an expectation of unresponsive, unavailable, rejecting adults' (Aber in Hughes 1997, p. 22).

MULTIPLE FACETS OF SAFETY

Given this pervasive sense of feeling unsafe, it stands to reason that the first focus of those providing care for young people exposed to chronic stress and trauma, is to ensure that they *are safe and feel safe*. This necessarily involves physical safety, but also social safety in peer and adult relationships; emotional safety in terms of adult acceptance, empathy and compassion; and cultural safety in terms of recognition and respect for cultural priorities, needs, language and behaviours.

A safe environment is one in which the nature of the physical environment, the adult caregivers, the mix of clients, the intervention models, and the adult-young person interactions, are all designed to minimise both the reality and the perception of threat to the young person. This does not mean that the young person will not feel unsafe from time to time, but that the program itself will seek to be a source of comfort and support, not a source of threat. It provides a calming context in which the child or young person can gradually move from a stance of reactive defensiveness to one of proactive engagement with adults.

CARE PROVIDERS AND SAFETY

Unfortunately, the characteristic behaviours of a young person that has been exposed to relationship trauma tend to trigger adult responses that reinforce the young person's lack of felt safety. Academic James Anglin (2002) looked closely at ten residential programs across Canada. He found that many young people in care describe their inner experiences as being

marked by emotional 'pain', a word they frequently used. He also found that many of the difficult behaviours of the young people reflected this inner pain but that carers frequently failed to recognise this fact in the punitive or controlling way they responded to their behaviours.

Anglin (2002) concluded that even though care providers may be caring individuals with the right motives, they often inadvertently end up becoming a source of pain and distress for the young people they care for. He concluded that the 'Central Problem' for carers of traumatised young people is:

dealing with...primary pain without unnecessarily inflicting secondary pain experiences on the residents through punitive or controlling reactions (p. 55).

Writing from a different perspective, van der Kolk (2003) comes up with a similar conclusion that would resonate with many who work with young people from backgrounds of chronic adversity and trauma:

Faced with a range of challenging behaviours caregivers have a tendency to deal with their frustration by retaliating in ways that uncannily repeat the children's early trauma (p.310).

Ensuring that we as carers do not slip into this abusive pattern of behaviour requires a sound understanding of the processes involved, training in trauma awareness, and the ready availability of guidelines, support, debriefing and supervision.

The focus on safety will mean different things for different young people, and different developmental stages, settings, and care providers. However, the goal is always the same – that the young person is safe and feels safe and is thus able undertake the journey to healing and growth. Steele and Malchiodi (2012) have observed that:

Safety is not about reason and logic but about how the child experiences us as helping professionals.... This includes the way we present ourselves to the child, our mannerisms, physical features, body language, and voice tones...either the child feels safe or he does not. The child ultimately determines who is a safe person (p. 91).

Safety is therefore closely related to the nature of interpersonal connections (the next pillar) because it is only by positively connecting with others that a young person can begin to feel safe.

PILLAR 2: CONNECTIONS

In his recent book, van der Kolk (2014) maintains that 'The essence of trauma is feeling godforsaken, cut-off from the human race' (p. 335). This is because the young person has experienced extreme adversity and trauma and the normally protective caregivers could not protect, would not protect, or were themselves the source of the harm – this leads to a lack of trust in, and sense of disconnection from, adults. Seita and

Brendtro (2001) suggest that many such young people develop an 'adult-wary' outlook on life.

The second pillar then, is the establishment or re-establishment of vital connections for the traumatised young person. By connections we are referring to normative connections with the broader community such as with schools, sporting teams, religious organisations, scouts etc., as well as the need for safe, emotionally satisfying connections between traumatised young people and caring adults.

ATTACHMENT

The earliest attachment relationships between children and their caregivers are of vital significance. Allan Schore (2012) points out that the reason why the attachment perspective is so important is that:

the real relationships of the earliest stage of life indelibly shape us in basic ways, and, for the rest of the life span, attachment processes lie at the centre of all human emotional and social functions.' (p. 27).

Likewise, Daniel Siegel (2012) suggests that people:

carry those to whom they are attached inside of them...this 'script' serves as a blueprint for expected interpersonal patterns of behaviour and communication (p. 96).

Unfortunately, many of the young people in care settings have not had the benefit of a sound, secure relationship foundation for development and a profound interpersonal insecurity colours subsequent relationships. It is our job to create the conditions that help young people alter these maladaptive expectations and learn to connect with positive, caring adults and peers.

THE HUNGER FOR NORMALITY

The young people we live and work with often have a strong drive to be 'normal'; to feel 'normal'; and to be treated as 'normal'. James Anglin (2002) in his landmark study identified this quest for normality as an unexpected but strong theme in the young people he interviewed. This theme arose directly from discussions with the young people themselves, and was incorporated into the title of his book ('Pain, Normality and the Struggle for Congruence').

For most young people in special care and education settings their lives are anything but 'normal' - they live away from their family homes, they are often in 'special' schools, they understand that their behaviours set them apart from their peers, they may not dress or present like their peers, and they are not cared for by parents. The underlying sense is one of shame, a very powerful and deep feeling of not being good enough; of not being the same as others; of not belonging; of being unworthy; of being defective. Brene Brown (2012) defines shame as 'the intensely painful feeling or experience of believing that we are flawed and therefore unworthy of love and belonging' (p. 69).

Some young people embrace and even flaunt their differentness and with some this may be a healthy reflection of independence and defiance. However, for others it is likely to be a reaction to the deep sense of exclusion and shame that they feel.

One implication is that we need to consider how we can help young people engage in 'normal' activities and settings such as regular schools, youth groups, sporting teams, scouts, and 'sleepovers' with friends even if they need to be in some form of special care. These activities create opportunities for forming multiple connections and the development of 'normal' identities.

CONNECTIONS WITH ADULTS

But there is also a need to help the young people learn to trust and connect with adults. We have long known that trusting connections are of vital importance if young people are to recover from chronic stress and trauma. Now there is also growing scientific evidence suggesting just how important it is. From her analysis of the resilience research, Masten (2015) found that 3 of the 10 factors that have been consistently linked with resilience have to do with interpersonal support and attachment (p. 148). Likewise, Bonnie Benard (2004) found that of all the contributing factors that promote resilience and successful outcomes in disadvantaged children, caring relationships between children and teachers or other mentors stood out, a finding echoed by Rutter (2013) and Werner (2013).

BUILDING CONNECTIONS

Junlei Li and Julian (2012) point out that it is relationships that are the 'active ingredient' of positive change in most human service settings but they observe that these need to be more than simply connections involving positive feelings. They describe what they term 'developmental relationships', a notion adapted from Bronfenbrenner (1979), as involving four key qualities – attachment, reciprocity, progressive complexity, and balance of power.

Attachment. Li and Julian use the term *attachment* broadly to include 'any emotional connection that is natural, positive, and appropriate for the context.' They suggest that this positive connection facilitates the 'sustained and frequent engagement' that is necessary to embed the other relationship qualities.

Reciprocity refers to the interactive nature of the connection which involves both the provision of necessary supports (scaffolding) and the fading or gradual removal of such supports. The adult facilitates growth by providing achievable challenges for the child within the 'zone of proximal development'. The experience of emotional and physical neglect is so developmentally devastating largely because it deprives a child of this interpersonal reciprocity. (National Scientific Council on the Developing Child, 2012).

Progressive complexity suggests that the developmental tasks necessarily become increasingly more complex as the young person develops mastery.

Balance of power refers to the need for the power in the relationship to progressively shift towards the child or young person (Li & Julian, 2012, p. 158).

Positive connections with young people should be the primary goal of all care providers and there is ample evidence that the everyday skills of care and education providers are critical ingredients. For example, Fahlberg (1991) points out that care providers need to be alert to the possibility of exploiting the 'arousal-relaxation' cycle in facilitating attachment (p. 33). This involves being positively present with children during times of high emotional arousal (such as when angry, fearful, or disappointed) and helping them to achieve calming and quiescence – this process, she points out, underlies the development of attachment in infancy and can be applied with older children who have insecure attachment patterns.

Back in the 1960s, Larry Brendtro drew attention to this need for care providers to 'capitalise' on relationship opportunities in times of crisis:

When hurt, frightened, lonely or sick, a previously guarded young person may abandon well-entrenched defences against adults. Decades of research on the significance of crisis suggests that humans are more susceptible to helping relationships and more responsive to therapeutic attempts at these times of stress...The valence of a relationship can undergo a marked change after some crucial incident which draws the adult and child closer together. (adapted from Trieschman, Whittaker & Brendtro, 1969).

Another everyday connection-building skill is the engaging of young people in activities characterised by *rhythmicity*. The late Professor Henry Maier (1992) observed that when two parties are involved in rhythmical interactions, such playing table tennis, throwing a ball, dancing or playing music together, a positive connection is created, even if temporarily. 'It is almost impossible', he observes, 'to dislike someone while you are rhythmically *in synch* with them'. Similarly, van der Kolk (2014) maintains that:

What begins as the attuned play of mother and child continues with the rhythmicity of a good basketball game, the synchrony of tango dancing, and the harmony of choral singing...all of which foster a deep sense of pleasure and connection(p. 84).

The use of these (and countless other) everyday interpersonal skills promotes the development of positive connections and helps to ensure a safe environment for young people, but they are also an important element in assisting them to cope with their challenging circumstances and turbulent inner lives.

In weighing the relative efficacy of formal intervention programs and relationships, Bruce Perry (Perry & Szalavitz, 2006) has observed that:

...the more healthy relationships a (young person) has, the more likely he will be to recover from trauma and thrive. Relationships are the agents of change.

PILLAR 3: COPING

Exposure to trauma and chronic stress in childhood has significant implications for who young people live with, where they go to school, how they learn, and how they relate to peers, quite apart from the impact it has on their inner lives. They need to develop coping strategies to survive and adapt to these external realities as well as the enduring strong emotions and impulses that are at the heart of traumatic stress. Coping involves both conscious and unconscious strategies.

Young people have a natural motivation to develop their own coping strategies to deal with the 'fallout' from relational trauma, particularly as adults have so often let them down. Some of these strategies are helpful and adaptive, for example, the development of a 'radar' for danger and a tendency to be self-reliant. However, many coping strategies are not helpful or adaptive, especially in the longer-term. Felitti and Anda (2010), reflecting on the lifetime outcomes of early exposure to trauma, have noted that:

Many of the most intractable public health problems are the result of compensatory behaviors such as smoking, overeating, and alcohol and drug use, which provide immediate partial relief from the emotional problems caused by traumatic childhoods. These experiences are lost in time and concealed by shame, secrecy and social taboo... (p. 86).

In the same vein, Sandra Bloom and Brian Farragher (2011) have aptly observed:

If trustworthy people are not available, it is more likely that the chronically distressed individual will turn to drugs, alcohol, smoking, sex, criminal activity, or risk taking behaviour - any activity that relieves the unrelenting, emotionally driven, repetitive distress (p. 108).

Our role then is to empathically understand the coping strategies that the young person has employed, to provide safety and support so that they have less need to resort to maladaptive strategies, and to guide them toward safe, healthy, socially wise ways of coping.

MANAGING EMOTIONS AND IMPULSES

Allan Schore (2012) refers to struggles with emotional self-regulation as 'the most significant consequence of early relational trauma' (p.65), while Bloom and Farragher (2011) observe that such young people '...may be chronically irritable, angry, unable to manage aggression, impulsive, anxious or depressed' (p. 108). Although emotional turbulence is a central concern, so too are intrusive thoughts, frightening memories, painful sensations and dangerous impulses. Carers and mentors can assist with the management of a young person's internal turbulence by employing a range of practical, everyday skills.

VERBAL SKILLS

Many of the adjectives that we use to describe traumatic experiences suggest that our ability to verbally process such experiences is impaired - that the intensity of the experience defies verbal description. For example, we hear about 'unspeakable horror', 'mute terror' and 'indescribable fear'.

Bessel van der Kolk (2014) observes that, 'while trauma keeps us dumbfounded, the path out of it is paved with words' (p. 232). He states elsewhere that:

a critical element in the treatment of traumatised people is to help them find words for emotional states. Naming feelings gives patients a subjective sense of mastery... (van der Kolk, MacFarlane & Van Der Hart 1996, p. 427).

In a pointer to the therapeutic possibilities of harnessing language, research has also revealed that the act of consciously naming the emotions we experience reduces amygdala arousal as effectively as formal emotion management techniques (Burkhard et al., 2013; Lieberman et al, 2007). Such discoveries highlight the promise of verbal strategies in working with traumatized young people.

Direct care providers, teachers and other mentors are not trained to provide therapy for their charges, but they can help develop the verbal and emotional competencies the young people will need in order to manage their difficult emotions. Active listening strategies, used on a day-by-day basis, help young people identify and name emotions and thereby develop skills that are often lacking in traumatised young people (van der Kolk, 2005). Such approaches should be a key element of every mentor's toolkit.

COREGULATION

Infants and small children cannot regulate their own emotions – they need the adults to do it with them. By being soothed, stroked, rocked and spoken to in a calm, soft manner when they are upset, they experience calming through the adult's presence and support. In time, they learn to self-soothe by learning from their carer's responses that there are means to relieve distress; hunger is relieved by food; hurt knees are soothed by attention and a bandage; emotional distress by calming words and a hug, and so on – most importantly, they learn that there is a responsive, committed caregiver on hand to assist. Developmental psychologists call this interactive process between carer and infant 'co-regulation'. With older children and young people who have not yet learned the skills of self-regulation, adults can choose to respond to 'dysregulated' behaviour by either co-regulating with the child, or, as is sometimes the case, by attempting coercively control the child's behaviour (Bath, 2008a). Mollon observes that 'without... soothing by reliable and consistent caregivers, the traumatised child is unable to regulate his or her mental state and restore emotional equilibrium'(cited in Schore, 2003, p. 123).

The use of co-regulation with older children and young people requires an acceptance that they may temporarily need the carer's assistance to safely manage intense emotions; a willingness to attend to the emotions and struggle with self-control rather than the child's hostility and threats; and a commitment

to the use of non-retaliatory and non-provocative words or actions. It also requires an ability to distinguish between problematic behaviours that are goal-directed and instrumental, and those that result from emotional flooding. This is consistent with Allan Schore's (2012) contention that, at its root, the ability to learn self-regulation is dependent on there being available trustworthy, empathic and committed caregivers (Chapter 1).

There are now many publications and training programs that promote a wide range of 'mindfulness' techniques, including some that are targeted at children and youth. Other 'life space' techniques (Brentro & DuToit, 2005; Holden et al., 2001; & Long, Wood & Fecser, 2001) encourage children to reflect on crisis events as a way of promoting insight and change. Indeed, any technique that assists young people to reflect on actions and emotions or that provides a means to uncouple impulse and action, can contribute to the objective. Such interventions contribute to a growing arsenal of 'everyday' techniques that can be used to therapeutic effect with young people affected by developmental trauma.

CONCLUSION

The *Three Pillars* are, of course, closely inter-related. There can be no felt safety for young people in the absence of positive connections, and as Allan Schore and others have pointed out, adaptive coping and self-regulation only develop in the context of sound connections with adult carers. *Safety, Connections* and *Coping* are not the only important priorities in a healing environment but they are fundamental to positive growth. Moreover, they provide a useful roadmap for success with young people who have been exposed to chronic adversity and trauma and a focus for the myriad tasks and transactions that occur in the 'lifespace' of young people in special care settings.

Howard Bath PhD, served as the inaugural Commissioner for children in Australia's Northern Territory from 2008 - 2015. He has had a rich career in work with vulnerable children and young people and consults worldwide. He can be contacted at: howardianbath@gmail.com

REFERENCES

- Anglin, J.P. (2002). Pain, normality, and the struggle for congruence: Reinterpreting Residential care for children and youth. New York, NY: Howarth.
- Bath, H. (2008a). Calming together: the pathway to self-control. *Reclaiming Children and Youth*, 16(4), 44-46.
- Bath, H. (2008b). The Three Pillars of trauma-informed care. *Reclaiming Children and Youth*, 17(3), 17-21.
- Bath, H. (2015). The Three Pillars of TraumaWise care: Healing in the Other 23 Hours. *Reclaiming Children and Youth*, 23(4), Winter, 2015.
- Bloom, S. & Farragher, B. (2011). *Destroying Sanctuary: The crisis in human services delivery systems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Brentro, L. & du Toit, L. (2005). *Response ability pathways: Restoring Bonds of respect*. Cape Town: Pretext.
- Briere, J., & Scott, C. (2006). *Principles of trauma therapy: A guide to symptoms, evaluations and treatment*. California: Sage.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Brown, B. (2012). *Daring greatly: How the courage to be vulnerable transforms the way we live, love, parent and lead*. London: Penguin Books.
- Burkhard, L.J., Creswell, D. J., Irwin, M.R. & Lieberman, M. D. (2014). The common and distinct neural bases of affect labelling and reappraisal in healthy adults. *Frontiers of Psychology*, 5, Article 221, 24 March 2014.
- Cook, A., Spinazzola, J., Ford, J., Lanktree, C., et al., (2005). Complex trauma in children and adolescents, *Psychiatric Annals*, 35(5), 390- 398.
- Enlow, M.B., Egeland, B., Blood, E.A., Wright, R.O. & Wright, R.J. (2012). Interpersonal trauma exposure and cognitive development in children to age 8 years: a longitudinal study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 66, 105-1010.
- Fahlberg, V. (1991). *A child's journey through placement*. Indianapolis, IN: Perspectives Press.
- Felitti, V. J. & Anda, R.F. (2010). 'The relational of adverse childhood experiences to adult medical disease, psychiatric disorder and sexual behaviour: implications for healthcare'. In R.A. Lanius, E. Vermetten and C. Pain, *The impact of early life trauma on health and disease* (pp. 77-87), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Felitti, V.J., Anda, R.F., Nordenberg, D.F., Williamson, D.F., Spitz, A.M., Edwards, V., et al. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*, 14(4), 245-258.
- Greenwald, R. (2005). *Child trauma handbook: A guide for helping trauma-exposed children and adolescents*. New York: The Haworth Maltreatment and Trauma Press.
- Holden, M. (2001). *Therapeutic Crisis Intervention (5th Ed.), Trainer's Manual*. Residential Child Care Project, Family Life Development Centre. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University.
- Hughes, D. (1997). *Facilitating Developmental Attachment: The Road to Emotional Recovery and Behavioural Change in Foster and Adopted Children*. New Jersey: Jason Aronson.
- Li, J. & Julian, M.M. (2012). Developmental relationships as the active ingredient: A unifying working hypothesis of "what works" across intervention settings. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 82(2), 157-166.
- Lieberman, M., Eisenberger, N., Crockett, M., Tom, S., Pfeifer, J. and Way, B. (2007) Putting feelings into words: Affect labelling disrupts amygdala activity in response to affective stimuli. *Psychological Sciences*, 18(5), 421 – 428
- Long, N., Wood, M. & Fecser, F. (2000). *Life space crisis intervention: Talking with students in conflict*. Austin, TX: PRO-ED.
- Maier, H. (1992). Rhythmicity – A powerful force for experiencing unity and personal connections. *Journal of Child and Youth Care Work*, 8, 7-13.
- Masten, A.S. (2015). *Ordinary Magic: Resilience in development*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- National Scientific Council on the Developing Child (2012). *The Science of Neglect: The persistent absence of responsive care disrupts the developing brain: Working Paper 12*. <http://developingchild.harvard.edu>
- Perry, B. (2001) The neurodevelopmental impact of violence in childhood: In D. Schetky and E. Benedek (Eds.). *Textbook of child and adolescent forensic psychiatry* (pp. 221-238). Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Press, Inc. Internet version retrieved 2.4.2005 from www.childtrauma.org, 02.04.2001.
- Perry, B. (2006). Applying principles of neurodevelopment to clinical work with maltreated and traumatized children. In N. Webb (Ed.), *Working with traumatized youth in child welfare* (pp. 27-52). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Perry, B., & Szalavitz, M. (2006). *The boy who was raised as a dog: What traumatized children can teach us about loss, love and healing*. New York: Basic Books.
- Rutter, M. (2013). Annual Research Review: Resilience – clinical implications. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(4), 474-487.
- Schore, A. (2001). The effects of early relational trauma on right brain development, affect regulation and infant mental health. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 22, 201-269.
- Schore, A. (2003). *Affect regulation and the repair of the self*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Schore, A. (2012). *The science and art of psychotherapy*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Seita, J. & Brendtro, L. (2001). *Kids who outwit adults*. Longmont, CO: Sopris West.
- Siegel, D. J. (2012). *The Developing Mind: how relationships and the brain interact to shape who we are*, 2nd Edition. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Steele, W. & Malchiodi, C.A. (2012). *Trauma-informed practices with children and adolescents*. New York: Routledge.
- Teicher, M.H., Anderson, S., Polcari, A., Anderson, C.M., Navalta, C.P. & Kim, D.M. (2003). The neurobiological consequences of early stress and childhood maltreatment. *Neuroscience and Behavioral Reviews*, 27, 33-44.
- Terr, L.C. (1991). Childhood traumas: An outline and overview. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1(48), 10-20.
- Trieschman, A., Whittaker, J. & Brendtro, L. (1969). *The other 23 hours*. New York: Aldine
- van der Kolk, B. (2003). The neurobiology of childhood trauma and abuse. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 12(2), 293-317.
- van der Kolk, B. (2005). Developmental Trauma Disorder: towards a rational diagnosis for children with complex trauma histories. *Psychiatric Annals*, 33(5), 401-408.
- van der Kolk, B. (2014). *The body keeps the score: Mind, brain and body in the transformation of trauma*. London: Allen Lane.
- van der Kolk, B., McFarlane, A., & van der Hart, O. (1996). 'A general approach to treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder.' In B. van der Kolk, A. McFarlane, & L. Weisaeth (Eds.), *Traumatic stress: The effects of overwhelming experience on mind, body and society* (pp. 417-440). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Werner, E. (2013). Risk, resilience, and recovery. *Reclaiming Children and Youth*, 21(1), 18-23.